

Table 1. Summary of Triggers That May Lead to Closer Environmental Review.

Issue	TRIGGER
Listed Species	A “likely to adversely affect” designation would indicate the need for further consultation with the USFWS to determine if a site specific document is needed.
Cultural Resources	If significant cultural resources exist that can not be addressed to SHPO satisfaction, the USFWS will be consulted concerning the need for a site specific EA.
Floodplains	If major floodplain problems exist that can not be addressed, the USFWS will be consulted for advice and guidance concerning the need for a site specific EA.
Wetlands	If any net loss of wetlands exceeds five (5) acres or can’t be adequately mitigated resulting from any access development project, the USFWS will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.
Invasive Species	Should it be shown that providing access may pose an unreasonable risk of introducing invasive species to sensitive areas, the USFWS will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.
Environmental Justice	If situations arise that indicate a possible adverse affect on minorities or low income individuals, the USFWS will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.
Public Involvement	If major public controversy persists, the USFWS will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.
No Access Waterway	If the situation arises where it is possible that this could be an issue, the USFWS will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.
Cumulative Impacts	If cumulative impacts are determined to be a possible problem, the USFWS will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.
Traffic Flow and Safety	If the situation arises where public complaint can not be satisfactorily addressed or there appears that unacceptable safety concerns exist, the USFWS will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA. Access projects which adhere to Ch. NR 1.91, Wis. Adm. Code, standards will not need a site specific EA or be dropped from consideration for boating traffic concerns.

